Case 2:11-cr-00413-ROS Document 5 Filed 02/14/11 Page 1 of RECEIVED

WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FEB 1 4 2011

LODGED

**CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT** BY

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING I RIAL
	Patroc	inio Alvarado-Orozco	Case Number:	11-3087M-1
	cordance stablished		42(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts
	-	ar and convincing evidence the defenda ng trial in this case.	nt is a danger to the communi	ty and require the detention of the defendant
		this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and r	equire the detention of the defendant pending
	(1)			and offense that would have been a federal
Ц	(1)	offense if a circumstance giving rise to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ocal offense that would have been a federal d) that is
		a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maxii	mum sentence is life imprisonr	ment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum	um term of imprisonment of te	n years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been co (f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federa state or local offense.		
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish reasonably assure the safety of (an)ot rebutted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that her person(s) and the commu	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not
			Alternative Findings	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe tha	t the defendant has committed	an offense
		for which a maximum term of	mprisonment of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in²
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	•	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the place conditions will reasonably assure the a	presumption established by find ppearance of the defendant as	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.
			Alternative Findings	
12	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assume the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of oth		safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defenda a prospective witness or juror).	nt will (obstruct or attempt to o	bstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate
	(4)	***************************************		

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

### Case 2:11-cr-00413-ROS Document 5 Filed 02/14/11 Page 2 of 3

## PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	山	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.			
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
]	The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
3	In add	ition: O Desendant is charged with failure to store of a			
	B0	de Patrol check soint for which the bable cause water			
		have the from law inforcement			

3 "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing."

18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the

time of the hearing in this matter.

### Case 2:11-cr-00413-ROS Document 5 Filed 02/14/11 Page 3 of 3

### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

LAWRENCE OF ANDERSON United States Magistrate Judge